

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/563,547

Applicant(s)

EVANS, ANDREW JAMES

Examiner

Kim M. Lewis

Art Unit

3772

All Participants:

(1) Kim M. Lewis.

(2) Mark Abumeri.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 November 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner telephoned applicant's attorney in order to discuss support for language added to claim 1 in the amendment of 8/27/07. Specifically, the examiner indicated that there was not support for both the sealed enclosure and the sealed cuff. During a subsequent telephone call, applicant's attorney indicated that the specification disclosed an embodiment wherein the enclosure can be sealed and that the cuffs can be located at the ends of the enclosure..